

ALGORITHMS FOR FINDING SHORTEST PATH IN GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM – A SURVEY

Sudhanshu Rai¹, Dr. Arun JB², Dr Ashish Sharma³

¹Ph.D. Scholar, Jodhpur National University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India

²Lecturer, Government Polytechnic College, Ajmer, Rajasthan, India

³HOD - Computer Science, Jodhpur National University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan

ABSTRACT: *Geographic Information System is very useful in transportation management. A Road network analysis is an important function of GIS, and the shortest path analysis is the key issue of network analysis. In Most of GIS applications, Dijkstra algorithm is useful. The functionality of Dijkstra's original algorithm can be extended with a variety of modifications. This paper represents the survey of two different algorithms Dijkstra algorithm and bellman-ford algorithm. The purpose of the paper is to select one best algorithm from the two.*

Keywords: *GIS, Optimal path, Dijkstra algorithm, Bellman-ford algorithm...*

I. INTRODUCTION

A Geographic Information System (GIS) is a computer-based tool. Using these tools we can create, manipulate, and analyze, store and display spatial information. Spatial information is information about objects which are on earth like city, railway track, river etc... GIS tools are used in planning, administration, analyzing in different countries. GIS is widely used in government agencies, transportation agencies, emergency systems etc... Network analysis is important function in GIS which includes shortest path problem, nearest neighbour query, resource allocation etc... A shortest path is critical problem in GIS. In many GIS applications, on the shortest path analysis of real-time requirements are also higher, such as 111, 108 fire and medical care system [1]. Shortest path problem is major problem in transportation management. Role of GIS in transportation management is very important. And routes planning are main problem in transportation agencies. If you want to find shortest path, you have to have information about source station and destination station. The existing shortest path algorithms are many but this paper represents survey of two different shortest path algorithms: Dijkstra algorithm and Bellman-Ford algorithm used in GIS. And also present comparison based on time complexity and space complexity. A road network can be considered as a graph with positive weights. The nodes represent road junctions and each edge of the graph is associated with a road segment between two junctions. The weight of an edge may correspond to the length of the associated road segment, the time needed to traverse the segment or the cost of traversing the segment [6].

II. BELLMAN-FORD ALGORITHM

The Bellman-Ford algorithm uses relaxation to find single source shortest paths on directed graphs. And it is also

contain negative edges. The algorithm will also detect if there are any negative weight cycles (such that there is no solution). If talking about distances on a map, there is no any negative distance. The basic structure of Bellman-Ford algorithm is similar to Dijkstra algorithm. It relaxes all the edges, and does this $|V| - 1$ time, where $|V|$ is the number of vertices in the graph [2]. The cost of a path is the sum of edge weights in the path. Now src is source and this is single source shortest path problem so find distance from single source to different destination. This algorithm returns value that negative cycle is present or not and also returns shortest path. This algorithm finds shortest path in bottom up manner [3].

a. Steps for algorithm This implementation is representing in graph. We have given a weighted, directed graph $G = (V, E)$. Here "V" is represented as list of vertices and "E" is represented as list of edges. Vertex is represented as "v" and edges are represented as "e" and source vertex is represented as "src" and also given weighted function w .

1. initialize graph Bellman-Ford (vertices V, edges E, source vertex src) For each v in V { If $v = src$ then $d[v] = 0$ Else $d[v] = \infty$ Cost[v] = null }

2. Relaxation of edges For i from 1 to $|V|-1$ { For each $[u,v]$ with w in E { If $d[u] + w(u,v) < d[v]$ Then $d[v] = d[u] + w(u,v)$ } Return d }

b. How to work... First of all we have initialized the graph G with list of vertices V and list of edges E. then next assign distance for every node and set 0 value to current node and set ∞ to another unvisited node. Then calculate distance from current node to its entire neighbor node and marked as visited node. Then visited node cannot be used again. Its distance store and now it is final and minimal. Current node and. Set unmarked node with less distance as current node and then continue from previous step.

III. COMPARISON BASED ON TIME COMPLEXITY AND SPACE COMPLEXITY

A time taken by Dijkstra's algorithm on a graph with edges E and vertices V can be expressed as a function of $|E|$ and $|V|$ using the Big-O notation. Fig. 2 Graph of time complexity The simplest implementation of the Dijkstra's algorithm stores vertices in Linked list or array, a time taken by algorithm is $O(|V|^2)$. And time taken by Bellman-Ford algorithm is $O(|V| \cdot |E|)$. So we can clearly show that Bellman-Ford algorithm takes more time than Dijkstra algorithm. So running time of Bellman-Ford algorithm is more than Dijkstra algorithm [5]. Implement Q using priority queue At most E edges in the heap. Space complexity of Dijkstra algorithm is $O(V+E)$. And space complexity of Bellman-Ford algorithm is $O(V)$. So Bellman-Ford algorithm

takes more space than dijkstra algorithm. Table-1 Time complexity Negative edges? Negative cycle? Time complexity Dijkstra Single source No No $O(|V|^2)$ Bellmanford Single source Yes Yes $O(|V| \cdot |E|)$ According above study dijkstra algorithm is more efficient than bellman ford algorithm.

IV. SIMILARITY AND DISSIMILARITY OF DIJKSTRA ALGORITHM AND BELLMAN FORD ALGORITHMS

Bellman ford and dijkstra both algorithms are used to find the shortest path of a network where the value of the shortest path may vary according to the situation of the network. We can solve cost and length problems using this both algorithm. Dijkstra algorithm is faster than bellman ford algorithm. Bellman Ford algorithm is not suggested for larger networks. And in GIS, there are lots of data so we cannot suggest this algorithm. Bellman ford algorithm work in negative weighted graph and also detect negative cycle but in GIS distance is not in negative form. Second disadvantage is that Changes in network topology are not reflected quickly but in GIS and in shortest path. we have to update quick fast the traffic information. so we cannot recommended for this algorithm. Bellman ford algorithm does not scale well. After this study we can say that dijkstra algorithm is very fast, and suitable for GIS application, so that dijkstra algorithm is widely used in real time application in GIS.

V. CONCLUSION

After studied these two algorithms I conclude that dijkstra algorithm is very faster than bellman ford algorithm. And also widely used in real time application in GIS. That's why I had chosen the Dijkstra's algorithm for finding shortest path in GIS technology. It is also work better and faster in very lager network

REFERENCES

- [1] DexiangXie, Haibo Zhu, Lin Yan, Si Yuan and JunqiaoZhang " An improved Dijkstra algorithm in GIS application" Proceedings of 2010 Conference on Dependable Computing, 2010
- [2] David M. Mount "Design and Analysis of Computer Algorithms" Department of Computer Science, 2012
- [3] GeeksforGeeks "Dynamic Programming" A computer science portal for geeks <http://www.geeksforgeeks.org/dynamic-programming-set-23-bellman-ford-algorithm/>
- [4] DechuanKong ,Yunjuan Liang, Xiaoqin Ma, Lijun Zhang "Improvement and Realization of Dijkstra Algorithm in GIS of Depot
- [5] Thippeswamy.K ,Hanumanthappa.J. , Dr.Manjaiah D.H. "A Study on Contrast and Comparison between Bellman-Ford algorithm and Dijkstra's algorithm".
- [6] Wei Zhang ,Hao Chen , Chong Jiang , Lin Zhu,"Improvement And Experimental Evaluation Bellman-Ford Algorithm",International Conference on Advanced Information and Communication Technology for Education (ICAICTE 2013),2013